The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 25

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte CHUN-GEUN CHOI AND HONG-JAE KIM

Application No. 09/531,005

HEARD: March 10, 2005

**MAILED** 

JUL 0 8 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Before KRASS, BARRY, and SAADAT, *Administrative Patent Judges*. BARRY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

## DECISION ON REQUEST FOR REHEARING

A patent examiner rejected claims 1-10. The appellants appealed therefrom; we issued an opinion. *Ex parte Choi*, No. 2005-0046 (Bd.Pat.App. & Int. Mar. 24, 2005). Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.52(a)(1), the appellants now ask us to reconsider that opinion. (Req. Reh'g at 1.) We grant their request.

Observing that "the first page of the *Decision on Appeal* states that 'We affirm-in-part,'" (*id.* at 5), and that "the signature of the page of the Decision on Appeal states 'AFFIRMED-IN-PART,'" (*id.*), the appellants "suggest[] that . . . the phrase 'AFFIRMED-

Application No. 09/531,005

IN-PART' is a typographic error. . . ." (*Id.*) They further suggest "that the phrase 'Reversed' should be substituted therefore [sic]." (*Id.*)

Agreeing with the appellants, we hereby change the former statement to "We reverse," and the latter statement to "REVERSED."

In summary, we grant the appellants' request to change the aforementioned language of *Choi*. The rejection of claims 1-10 under § 103(a) remains reversed.

Application No. 09/531,005

## **GRANTED**

ERROL A. KRASS

Administrative Patent Judge

LANCE LEONARD BARRY

Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT

APPEALS

AND

**INTERFERENCES** 

MAHSHID D. SAADAT

Administrative Patent Judge

Application No. 09/531,005

Robert E. Bushnell Suite 300 1522 K Street NW Washington, DC 20005-1202